

The Sun.

SUNDAY, OCTOBER 2, 1892.

Subscription by Mail Post-Paid.
 DAILY, Per Month, \$3.00
 DAILY, Per Year, \$30.00
 SUNDAY, Per Year, \$3.00
 DAILY AND SUNDAY, Per Year, \$33.00
 DAILY AND SUNDAY, Per Month, \$3.00
 WEEKLY, Per Year, \$1.00
 Single Copies, 10 Cents
 Foreign Postage Extra.

For friends who favor us with manuscripts for publication, we beg to say that we are not responsible for the return of any such papers.

The Concern of the Whole Democracy.

The New York Times and the Evening Post are proving the sincerity of their devotion to the true interests of the Democracy by their unflinching support of the anti-Tammany cause. Their malicious purpose of destroying the course of this year's Democratic campaign by putting up a municipal ticket in opposition to Tammany Hall, or the regular Democratic organization of this city.

So far as the regular Democratic municipal ticket is concerned, there is no reason to fear such an opposition. Whether there is or is not an attempt to divide the Democratic vote, it will be elected by a great majority, in which will be included, as the New York Times and the Evening Post correctly prefigure, the great mass of the former opponents of Tammany Hall. If the opposition dares to stand up to be counted, it will be found to be contemptibly weak; and for that reason Tammany Hall, as a matter of selfishness purely, might welcome the contest.

Tammany Hall, however, takes a larger and broader view of its responsibilities in this campaign against the Force bill. Its own local triumph is assured. The next Mayor, beyond any question, will be a Tammany man, or, in other words, a Democrat unquestioned and unquestionable. In New York there is now no anti-Tammany Democracy which amounts to anything. A ticket in opposition to Tammany, such as Mr. JACKSON and his associates propose to nominate, might attract the support of blatherers and preachers like DIXON, and procure the favor of the infamous person, PARKHURST; but it would not get more than five or six thousand Democratic votes at most, and it would send five times as many of the additional support of the regular Democratic nominations. But Tammany desires, first of all, to keep up the unity of the Democratic party for the Federal ticket and the general good of the Democratic cause. Its own fortunes are secure. The only question with it is as to the men it shall nominate on its municipal ticket. Of their election by an impressive majority there is no doubt whatever. As the Evening Post discerns, the determination of New York to vote for a purely Democratic rule is fixed and unchangeable.

The men who are nearest to Mr. CLEVELAND, his closest and most intimate Mugwump friends and advisers, show that they have an intelligent understanding of the situation. The flowers of the Republican race, and the bloodthirsty JACKSON do not deceive them by the pretence that Democratic division will secure better results for the electoral ticket than Democratic unity and harmony. They have common sense and they have political sagacity.

Tammany, or the regular Democracy of New York, has before it the common enemy of the Democracy, the Republican party, the party of the Force bill and of Negro Domination, and its great business this year is to defeat that enemy. To that effort it can give its undivided attention in this campaign, for its own local success will come to it as a matter of course, and as a reward for the distinguished efficiency with which it is now administering the municipal Government and confounding the Mugwump enemies it had made because of its very success in satisfying the demands of the intelligent citizens.

Brigandage in Italy.

With the exception of France, no European State gains so much by the influx of sightseers from other countries as does Italy. The amount of money annually spent in the city of Rome alone by foreign visitors and sojourners has been computed at millions of dollars, and this outlay has hitherto been greatly augmented by the sums disbursed by temporary residents in Florence, Naples, Venice, and Milan, and by travellers in the Italian peninsula. It behooves, therefore, the Italian Government, unless it would see cut off a fruitful source of the national prosperity and revenue, to take immediate steps to stamp out the nests of brigands whose recent outrages have startled the civilized world.

Sightseeing, being undertaken for pleasure, is peculiarly liable to be stopped by panic, even where the grounds for apprehension are not of a widespread or very serious character. It is no doubt true that such crimes as highway robbery and the holding of captives for ransom are narrowly localized, so far as the Italian mainland is concerned. The precise facts with reference to the diffusion of such outrages have recently been stated by a correspondent of the London Times. Throughout the northern provinces of Italy and as far as the old Papal frontiers personal security is as complete as in any part of England. But when one enters the region about Viterbo and Corneto—that is, within twenty miles of Rome—he is, as it has been for thirty years, exposed to the danger of being robbed by cut-throats who live in the extensive forest of the district and divide their time between plundering travellers and levying blackmail on the landed proprietors. Exactly the same state of things exists to the south of the Tiber in the district between Terracina and Velletri, and of late the insecurity has extended to the country between the latter place and Palestrina. As late as the first of August in the present year a case of highway robbery occurred half way between Rome and Frascati. The two districts mentioned, both of which lie within the former dominions of the Papacy, are the only parts of the Italian mainland where brigandage continues to exist in an endemic condition. Strange to say, when one crosses the frontiers of the old Neapolitan kingdom and traverses the Abruzzi, or the Marche, where under the Bourbons brigandage was an established institution, or Calabria, Apulia, and the Basilicata, absolute personal security is encountered.

The correspondent has not been able to learn of a single case of holding to ransom in this region since the occupation of the

Neapolitan territory by the troops of Victor EMANUEL; even about Pastum, where thirty years ago the road was only passable under an escort of dragoons, people travel without molestation.

The fact that brigandage has been thus localized on the Italian mainland shows that with persistence in vigorous measures of repression it might be altogether extinguished. It was literally by the strong hand, that is to say, by the employment of large bodies of soldiers for police duty, that the Italian authorities extirpated brigandage throughout the greater part of the peninsula and drove the outlaws into two desolate wooded districts where concealment was relatively easy. Even in Sicily, where brigandage is rooted in the habits of the people, systematic military repression was applied under PALAVERDI, and with such salutary results that four years ago the Times correspondent, accompanied only by a native boy, travelled from one end of the island to the other, visiting the most secluded ruins, and yet was never molested. Of late, however, the Sicilian authorities have given up the Sicilian methods of dealing with highway robbery, and have fallen back upon the ordinary law; the consequence is that outside of the large cities there has ceased to be any security for property or life, and the holding of captives for ransom is as common as it ever was. Even in the neighborhood of Rome police vigilance has been so relaxed that there is ground for the apprehension of tourists that brigandage may spread from the Viterbo and Velletri districts to points even nearer the capital. The excuse for the relative inertia now exhibited by the guardians of public order is the extremely straitened condition of Italian finance. But of what use is the Italian army, if it is not even available for police purposes? Here the Government expect to find the means of mobilizing a dozen army corps and maintaining them in campaigns abroad, if it cannot even afford to employ a few thousand soldiers in wiping out brigandage at home? It is indeed a ridiculous spectacle which Italy now offers to the world—posing in the Triple Alliance as one of the great powers of Europe, while at the same time tolerating on the plea of her extreme poverty the existence of gangs of outlaws within twenty miles of Rome.

It is asserted by an Italian newspaper that foreigners have relatively little to fear, for the reason, apparently, that the brigands are more keenly appreciative than is the Government of the benefits accruing to the country by the money expended by sightseers. As a rule, it is rich Italians rather than strangers who are robbed. We fear that travellers will not put much faith in the unwavering exercise of such astute discrimination. So long as it is officially acknowledged that one cannot travel twenty miles from Rome without entering regions infested with outlaws, all the environs of the Holy City are likely to remain unvisited.

Probable Product of Corn and Oats.

In value of product, in multiplicity of uses, in the traffic furnished railways, and in its contributions to the commerce of the nation, by far the most important product of American fields is the maize crop, that grown in 1891 exceeding in value, by many million dollars, the combined value of the cotton and wheat crops.

From such data as exists it appears that during the last twelve years the United States have produced some 20,584,000 bushels of corn, being a fraction over 30 bushels per capita per annum, of which about one-third has been exported, and the balance consumed at home. At an average yield of 24.1 bushels per acre, the present population—65,500,000—requires the product of some 79,200,000 acres, while the area now planted is reported to be about 72,885,000, and is some 6,314,000 acres below the estimated requirements.

During the eighth decade the domestic consumption of oats appears to have been at the rate of 7.5 bushels per capita per annum, increasing to 10.6 bushels in the ninth decade, the increase being, probably, almost wholly due to the increase in the number of horses kept in the towns and cities.

With this increase in the annual per capita rate of consumption the requirements now equal, at an average yield of 24.1 bushels per acre, the product of 406 of an acre per capita and necessitating the employment of 26,590,000 acres, while but 25,350,000 have been sown, or some 1,240,000 less than the estimated requirements.

The requirements for corn and oats are equal to 39.7 bushels per capita per annum, and such quota, with average yields per acre, requires the employment annually of 1,614 acres for each unit of the population, the present aggregate requirements being 105,790,000 acres, while the corn and oat crops of this year are reported as covering an area of but 98,236,000 acres, being 7 per cent. below normal requirements, and it behooves the public to note that if the crops of corn and oats, matured and marketed, are likely to produce so much in excess of average yields as to compensate, in whole or in part, for the lacking acreage, and in order to furnish the needed information in the most reliable form THE SUN has instituted special inquiries through a wide correspondence with producers, dealers, and State officials, as well as by personal surveys by its experts, and finds the crop situation to be this:

The spring was unusually cold, wet, and backward over very wide areas, and oat sowing was delayed from two to six weeks in the more productive regions, the result being that although the plant made rapid progress it never recovered the time lost, and the grain-ripening period was projected into the hottest part of the summer when atmospheric conditions were at the worst for the proper development of the grain, which has proved to be deficient both in quantity and weight, although there was straw enough for nearly or quite an average crop.

The autumn, in measured bushels, in the central and Western States, where the major part of the crop is grown, is much less than 75 per cent. of an average, and the grain weighs from 20 to 25 pounds only to the measured bushel, the average not exceeding 24 pounds probably, or 75 per cent. of the standard. If the yield for the republic, as seems altogether probable, does not exceed 75 per cent. of the average in measured bushels, the product will be normally 260,000,000 bushels; and assuming that the average weight will reach an improbable 28 pounds, or 87 per cent. of the standard, there will be about 440,000,000 bushels by weight, but of less than the ordinary feeding value of such a weight of grain, as the proportion of hull will be far in excess of the ordinary.

If this view of the oat crop is correct, the production in weight will be less than domestic requirements by some 255,000,000 bushels. That such estimate is sufficiently liberal is assured by the fact that the central and Western States, which produce about 60 per cent. of the entire crop and furnish the commercial supply—much the greater part (or 80 per cent.) of which comes

from Illinois, Iowa, and Nebraska—will produce crops ranging from 45 to 75 per cent. of an average, the crops of Illinois, Iowa, and Nebraska being the poorest, there being estimates, by thoroughly reliable parties (having 1,500 correspondents in the three States), which place the yield of Illinois at 85 per cent., Iowa at 80 per cent., and Nebraska at 60 per cent. of an average.

The conditions surrounding the planting of corn were, if possible, more unfavorable than those surrounding the sowing of oats, and this is especially true of that great region which produces the commercial supply. In Illinois, Indiana, and the other surplus-producing States corn planting was not wholly completed until late in June and the early part of July, and the seed went into earth that was so beaten and sodden by floods of rain, and on all the heavier soils the young plants had a wretched struggle for mere existence, and the traveler may, for thousands of miles along the railways in these States, see scores of corn that has tasselled out at from three to five feet high, and that has never given promise of five bushels per acre. Wherever through these States the land had good natural drainage or had been underlaid with tile, and upon which early ploughing and planting was possible, the crop is from fair to good, and in some instances first rate, except where growth was retarded or dwarfed by drought, or where, as over much of Kansas, the corn had made good growth, but was caught by the drought of late July and early August in the peculiarly critical condition of florescence. Wherever in that region the fruiting tassel appeared during that superheated term, it was scorched by the hot air—not hot winds, as reported, but the heated atmosphere, and the tender blossom destroyed, and with it all possibility of a crop, even had not the equally tender and essential silk been burned to a brown waste by the same intense heat. These so-called hot winds of the mid-continental regions prevail when the earth is bare and desiccated by drought accompanied by excessive heat—excessive heat and drought must coexist—but either let the soil be moist or be shaded by a thick growth of grass, and the heat is not radical from that particular locality in such degree as to be destructive of the equally sensitive corn tassel and silk, and in that particular locality there will be no sign of the so-called hot winds, although they are felt just as soon as a locality is reached—immediately adjoining—where the grass has been grazed close. When surface moisture exists the heat never becomes so intense as to destroy the silk or the tassel, and a crop of corn can be grown. One can now see hundreds of thousands of acres of good corn where local showers moistened the soil just before or during the heated term, and yet but a mile or two away, where no such showers fell, corn that had made a full growth of leaf and stalk, and promised quite as well as the grain had been killed at birth, although the heat was no more intense than where the life-giving showers had previously fallen. West of the Missouri River there are probably millions of acres of corn in this condition, and while there is an appearance of ears that would lead the casual observer to think that the corn is fairly good, there will at harvest be found only an empty cob or one containing a few grains on the side where the pollen-receiving silk had been sheltered and protected in some way, and been fertilized by grains of pollen that had come into the world at night and floated upon the cooler night air.

It has been and still is the deceptive appearance of these desiccated fields that accounts for the varying estimates of probable yields which have reached the public from the trans-Missouri regions, and that will account for an abundant lack of product when the harvest shall be completed. In the Department of Agriculture the nation has an agency for the gathering and collating crop data, and administered with intelligence its estimates would be of great value to the farmer, if they appear to be far from reliable, although the public is disposed to accept them in the absence of anything better.

The system—so-called—of estimating and reporting crop conditions by the department is such that it is impossible to determine with any degree of satisfaction what any given percentage indicates, as the percentages are ostensibly based upon 100 as perfect condition and prospective yield, while no intelligible statement seems to have been made as to what constitutes such perfect condition, nor has a full or perfect condition of the corn crop been reported in October since 1876, although such was the indication in that year and had previously been the case.

Without reported full crops for guidance the only way open is to ascertain what mean of percentage in each State has given the average yields reported for the ninth decade, and thus apply the reported September percentages of condition for the corn crop, when the indicated return will be found to be as follows:

DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS.

State	Indicated per cent.	Actual per cent.	Indicated per bushel	Actual per bushel
New England	244,229	80.3	8,000,000	8,000,000
New York	656,811	80.7	20,250,000	20,250,000
New Jersey	808,197	28.1	9,980,000	9,980,000
Pennsylvania	1,341,023	27.9	87,420,000	87,420,000
Delaware	252,081	31.4	4,500,000	4,500,000
Maryland	725,417	27.5	10,250,000	10,250,000
Virginia	2,024,400	14.7	2,670,000	2,670,000
North Carolina	2,892,877	11.5	32,720,000	32,720,000
South Carolina	1,802,985	10.7	19,280,000	19,280,000
Georgia	1,410,820	11.2	16,100,000	16,100,000
Florida	1,036,040	8.0	4,825,000	4,825,000
Alabama	2,792,911	12.4	34,632,000	34,632,000
Mississippi	2,244,308	12.7	30,748,000	30,748,000
Louisiana	2,191,017	10.7	21,950,000	21,950,000
Texas	3,875,860	18.8	72,907,000	72,907,000
Arkansas	2,162,780	17.4	27,832,000	27,832,000
Tennessee	3,500,397	21.1	76,260,000	76,260,000
Kentucky	2,608,433	21.2	34,100,000	34,100,000
West Virginia	998,303	22.6	12,708,000	12,708,000
Ohio	2,646,331	29.4	77,802,000	77,802,000
Indiana	3,118,400	26.8	83,574,000	83,574,000
Michigan	854,842	25.4	22,968,000	22,968,000
Illinois	5,885,527	24.8	145,115,000	145,115,000
Wisconsin	807,218	24.4	23,850,000	23,850,000
Minnesota	1,749,302	26.4	31,784,000	31,784,000
Iowa	3,817,835	28.4	20,234,000	20,234,000
Nebraska	2,644,831	27.7	30,200,000	30,200,000
Kansas	2,201,104	24.3	127,847,000	127,847,000
Nebraska	4,619,905	28.3	130,745,000	130,745,000
South Dakota	794,010	24.0	10,050,000	10,050,000
North Dakota	219,019	16.3	7,700,000	7,700,000
New Mexico	64,544	16.1	878,000	878,000
Utah	32,350	14.5	608,000	608,000
Colorado	44,700	25.0	1,144,000	1,144,000
Arizona	1,034	2.5	1,034	1,034
California	187,228	21.8	4,584,000	4,584,000
Total	72,885,112	21.8	1,596,936,000	1,596,936,000

Dealing thus with the percentages of condition as reported to obtain on Sept. 1—

and there has been no improvement since, they add materially to the outlook— they indicate that in Ohio the prospective yield per acre is 95 per cent. of an average, in Indiana 93 per cent., in Michigan, Illinois, and Iowa 91 per cent., in Kansas and Nebraska 85 and 86 per cent., and in Missouri above an average!

It is only with such high comparative yields in these great producing States that a prospective aggregate product of 1,000,000,000 bushels can be arrived at, and it is such estimates, and others ranging as high as 1,700,000,000 bushels, upon which the daily transactions in corn are based.

Those at all cognizant of the condition of the corn fields of Kentucky, Ohio, Indiana, Michigan, Illinois, Nebraska, and Missouri will not believe that such conditions warrant an estimated return even as high as 75 per cent. of an average yield per acre, nor will those familiar with the condition of the fields of Iowa and Kansas believe that, with the continuance of the most favorable meteorological conditions until the harvest is completed, that the yield in such States will reach anything like two-thirds of an average.

Taking this view of the situation, THE SUN would estimate the return of corn in the nine States named as follows:

State	Area, acres	Yield, bushels per acre	Total, bushels
Kentucky	2,640,470	75	198,035,250
Ohio	2,814,531	75	211,089,825
Indiana	3,118,400	75	233,880,000
Michigan	854,842	75	64,113,150
Illinois	5,885,527	75	441,414,525
Kansas	2,201,104	75	165,082,800
Nebraska	4,619,905	75	346,492,875
Missouri	5,844,958	75	438,371,850
Total	29,000,000	75	2,200,000,000

This reduction in the estimate makes the probable return for the country some 1,370,000,000 bushels, while the probable requirements for corn and oats, which are used so largely for the same purposes, will be as follows:

Item	Quantity
Horses and mules require about	1,400,000,000
Cattle, swine, and sheep require about	840,000,000
Required for food and in the arts	300,000,000
Required for seed	60,000,000
Total	2,600,000,000

To meet these requirements there will be probably, of corn, 1,370,000,000 bushels.

And the probable deficit reaches 31 per cent., or, 750,000,000 bushels.

After the short corn crop of 1891, when the yield of oats was good, the per capita supply of the two grains reached 31.4 bushels; after the short corn crop of 1887, the oat crop was excellent, and the per capita supply of the two grains equalled 36 bushels; after the short crops of both corn and oats in 1890 the per capita supply was 32 bushels, while the prospective supply, based on the above estimate, will be but 28.5 bushels per capita of both grains, and, relatively to population, is 9 per cent. less than either of the short crops named. Assuming that the higher estimates of the operators on the exchanges, the per capita supply will be but a fraction of a bushel greater than in 1891, when a large residue was left over from two very abundant harvests in the years immediately preceding, while now there seems no considerable amount of old corn in any of the surplus States but two, and it will be several weeks before the new crop can be marketed, not before November.

Wines.

Again this year we have dolor-raising news from France about the year's yield of all the three choicest kinds of French wine: claret, champagne, and burgundy. In regard to the first, we are told that "the scorching of grapes in the claret districts means a small yield of indifferent quality." In regard to the second, we are told that "the ravages of the phylloxera in the heart of the champagne region will make the yield less than half that of last year." Burgundy, too, will be scarce and dear. The news is given in detail by the Wine-Trade Review. There will be grief in many souls all over the world on account of it.

For wine-drinkers need not fall into despair of the utter kind. It is a consoling fact that very fair claret and champagne of native American growth can be procured in these times. By sampling all the varieties of the red wine raised between the Pacific seaboard and the Potomac River, a man of judgment may find something to his fancy. He must not give up the search after trying a few kinds and declaring that they are all bad or intolerable; he must be patient until he finds the tolerable, then the passable, the imperfect yet not really bad, the mediocre or ordinary, the pretty good, the very fair, the quite up to a decent quality. We are assured by men of experience that this last named can be discovered by a diligent and patient search, and that the quality of the wine is of the highest inherent in the choice of France from Bordeaux. We are not here advertising any particular kind of American red wine. We are merely remarking that some kinds of it may be found fit for consumption by men of natural gifts and broad experience, in whom the follies of taste and the follies of smell are well developed. It is impossible to deceive a full-grown, well-cultured, properly-ripened claret scholar. Such a scholar tries the cup, criticizes it keenly, applies at least three of his senses to the scrutiny of it; and, in case it fulfill all the obligations, he is overcome by a gentle form of poetic mania, which is the very reverse of that vile condition known as mania a potu.

Well-to-do Frenchmen and Germans living in this country express satisfaction with some kinds of American claret. American clarets are kept and served in nearly all the foreign restaurants of this city, even the high-priced ones. The domestic consumption of them has enormously increased within the past ten years, during which time their quality has been improved. Large quantities of them are now exported to foreign countries.

As to American champagne: ay, even champagne! let no Frenchman speak slightly of it, while yet he has not tested its varieties in an enlightened spirit, without prejudice, and under scientific methods. We believe there are some kinds of American champagne that taste very much like the larger better esteemed foreign whiskeys; but there are also and truly kinds which may well make the bottlers of Rheims apprehensive of the great hereafter. We can tell a story at this point.

Once upon a time an American resident of this city, who owned a cellar of rare wines, gave a dinner, at which one of the guests was that proud Prussian baron, that brave American soldier, that accomplished gentleman and fastidious diner, the late Baron VON STEINWEHR, who had often in his life enjoyed the vintages and quaffed the wines of kings and princes. The host of the evening had a purpose in asking his guest to mark the wines that were brought on the table, and when a

dusty bottle of champagne, bearing no label, turned up, he became unusually ceremonious, and looked with expectancy at the Baron's glowing face, all for a purpose. In a moment the Baron, who was flattered, raised the sparkling glass, looked at it with critic's eye, inhaled its fragrance with approving smile, tasted it in questioning mood, tasted it again, turned his eye aloft as he leaned back in the chair and thought, tried it once more, drained the last drop in the glass with rapture, and, as soon as he recovered his wits, exclaimed, "I know it! It is from a vineyard on the Marne; there is none of it in America; the last time I tasted it was at the table of old Prince of Prussia!" The bottle had been emptied, and all was well, when the host wiped from the dust with which he had previously covered, showed his guest the label which had been removed from it, and told him that it was an American champagne, made in the United States from native grapes, and that it was bought at 10 cents a bottle for \$1.25! The old Baron was amazed, and refused to accept the word of his host until another bottle of the same champagne, duly labelled by the American maker, and clean as beauty itself, was brought upon the table. The argument of this anecdote is obvious. We are free to say, however, that all American champagne cannot be of the kind or the quality which Mr. JOHN SWINTON of this city served at his table for a purpose when the Baron VON STEINWEHR was his guest.

We have the assurance of disinterested persons that there are passable kinds of American champagne, even indeed excellent kinds.

If there really be kinds of American claret and champagne which are good enough for most people, and by which even men of experience, who are not open to hypnotic influence, may be convinced, we cannot see why our wine-drinkers should fall into despair because it is reported that the supply from Bordeaux and Rheims will be short this year.

Hunting a Force Bill Statesman.

The Hon. HENRY CABOT LODGE is making speeches in the Seventh Massachusetts Congress district, but, as far as we have observed, he omits to say a word about the Force bill. A few months ago he was ready to be portrayed for posterity as a Radical from Nahant, with the Force bill in his hand. Then his admirers invited attention to him as a vigorous, masterful, severe statesman, a SHAFESPHERE for the South, thoroughly, through. There was a rumor that Mr. LODGE was engaged in collecting pieces of CROMWELL. There seemed to be no doubt that he meant to be imperious, destructive, terrible, a mixture of GENOHS KHAN and WASHINGTON. Yet this young lover of tyranny is now going about the Seventh district milder than the dove. Not a roar comes from him. He even speaks at meetings where one McCALL, SAMUEL WELER McCALL, doubtless an excellent citizen and a believer in the rotundity of the earth, is the honored orator. And Mr. LODGE, the literary, the distinguished, the beautiful, the hope of the young Republicans who are no longer young, the dread of some Republicans who are still young, has to take a back seat. Alas, alas for HENRY!

It is not that Mr. LODGE seeks to perambulate his district in muff, so to speak, and hide his light under a bushel, but he does not face himself. He is the same Mr. LODGE who was rejoicing in his strength a little while ago and striking at the South with his dagger of laths, and proposing to be a very wicked and valorous personage. He keeps the Force bill in his pocket now; but let him go to another Congress and let that Congress have a Republican majority and he will pop up again, no longer the man of peace he now pretends to be, but a brilliant and powerful representative of the extreme Republican policy, the aide-camp of Gen. THOMAS BRACKETT REED. He tries to have the Force bill and his connection therewith forgotten. He is GEORGE FOX now, and to hear him talk you would never think that he was Capt. KIDN as he is called. Fortunately his Democratic opponent, the fiery and versatile Dr. EVERETT, is after him with a short stick. Dr. EVERETT has not forgotten the Force bill, and he doesn't propose that the voters of the Seventh district shall be allowed to forget it. Thus, at a Democratic meeting at Chelsea the other night, Dr. EVERETT had a good deal to say about the measure which was Mr. LODGE's chief title to political eminence:

"A bill was brought forward by which the central Government should exercise despotic control over the rights of citizens in voting for members of Congress; the bill was called 'The Force bill,' and it was to be chosen in the States, and the State of New Hampshire shall choose so many representatives, the State of Maryland shall choose so many representatives, the Republicans put in the Force bill, the idea that Government inspectors, marshals, and the United States deputy marshals appointed from Washington, should choose the representatives, and the Republicans of the States should have previous little to do with choosing them at all."

"Now that was the thing to do, and the distinguished gentleman who represents this district in Congress went up and down this district trying the people to listen to it. He pleaded for the Elections bill, he said that that was the chief law."

"He asked you should hear for his cause, he said, 'The Force bill,' and the purity of the ballot was bound up in that bill."

"And now what are we told? 'Oh, the Force bill is not an issue in this campaign.' 'Oh, don't say anything about it.' 'Oh, that really is not a subject.' 'There is no difference between the parties on that subject.' 'Put that all by.' 'We have not had to say anything about it.'"

"Is that what we have? This most important measure, this vital necessity of purity of elections, this absolute need of protecting the ballot box that two years ago this whole district was called to support it, and now it is nothing at all; it has all gone away."

"How is that? Have the Republicans ever said it was bad? Have they ever repented of their action in passing it? Has a single Republican senator ever said that he regretted the Force bill? Has not President HAYES resorted to the idea again and again in his messages that something of the kind ought to be passed? If you get in a Republican Congress, and the Republicans are sitting here, even though they call it a dead issue now?"

"That is the talk. Seek it to him, Dr. EVERETT! Make the tabernacles of the undogged tremble. Make HENRY LODGE regret the day when he became TOM REED's political valet. Beat him if you can. Scare thunder out of him any way. No Force bill! No Negro Domination!"

"We believe that the advantages of free raw material should be secured to our manufacturers."—ALBANY ARGUMENT.

Oh, no; that was not in the letter of acceptance by a long shot. What Mr. CLEVELAND recommended for tariff policy was not the bare principle of free raw material, but a flexible and restrained scheme of "free" raw materials. There is as radical a difference between attacks upon the tariff with these two purposes in view respectively as there is between protection and free trade.